With this paper the authors aim not only to investigate the lifestyle specifics of the Western Balkan market, but also to define common lifestyle segments for the entire region. The question addressed in this research is whether current political issues and economic differences have led to dissimilar ways of living, or whether cultural similarities have prevailed and lifestyles can be defined accordingly.

Based on the research conducted using six underlying factors, three lifestyle clusters are identified. Analysis shows that there are three almost identical lifestyles for Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Serbia and Slovenia, and they are applicable to the entire region. These findings have significant managerial implications, as potential investors can apply identical marketing strategies to target the approximately 20 million consumers in the region.

Keywords: Lifestyle, psychographic, regional segmentation

JEL: M39, Z13

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary marketing and consumer behavior theory and practice use lifestyle studies for segmenting the market and understanding lifestyle similarities and differences. The efficiency of geographic and demographic segmentation has been challenged as it fails to capture the personality nuances (e.g. psychographics) that separate customers by meaningful brand experiences and relational behaviors (Barry and Weinstein 2009). Thus, lifestyle positioning has become an increasingly common approach among managers, especially in commodity categories in which functional differences are difficult to maintain (Chernev, Hamilton and Gal 2011). To many managers, lifestyle branding seems to offer a way of breaking free of the cutthroat competition within a category by...
connecting with consumers on a more personal level. Lifestyle researchers using the values paradigm draw from a short list of universal antecedents to human action to explain consumption patterns (Holt 1997).

This paper is focused on the Western Balkan countries of Slovenia, Croatia, Serbia and Bosnia and Herzegovina. The main research problem defined by the authors is that these countries are rather small markets per se for potential investors, yet together they comprise a market of approximately 20 million customers. Regional segmentation based on lifestyle might introduce common segments and thus result in a standardized market approach among potential investors. The focal research question is whether we can profile the same lifestyle clusters at the regional level and consequently treat the Western Balkans as a common market for investors.

The observed countries were once republics within the former Yugoslavia. Approximately 20 years ago, the dissolution of Yugoslavia resulted in different socio-economic development within each newly-established country. Even within a region with many similarities in terms of language, culture and history, many differences arose during this transitional period. Therefore, the authors wanted to research whether current trends led to dissimilar ways of living, or whether previous similarities had prevailed and lifestyles could be defined accordingly.

**LIFESTYLE SEGMENTATION**

From the start lifestyle has been a broadly defined social term, combining all of the general similarities one can observe among people, including drives, emotions, cultural experiences, or life plans (Adler 1929). In a psychological sense Levy (1963) finds that lifestyle is an expression of values, describing the roles people play in life and how they think those roles should be fulfilled. They reveal both real and ideal lifestyles. Similarly, Havighurst and deVries (1969) see lifestyle as a syndrome of role activities with a dominant central theme, which is behaviorally visible, a syndrome that represents a group. As such, it is crucial to point out the differences in attitudes between groups, while keeping in mind the similarities in behavioral patterns within the same group. Overall, researchers agree that in a social, psychological and economic sense lifestyle is a combination of psychological and social characteristics. As a result, lifestyle-based segmentation is also called psychographics (Demby 1974).

The first definition of lifestyle from a marketing perspective comes from Feldman and Thielbar (1972). They stated that lifestyle is a group phenomenon that combines many aspects of life. It implies a central life interest and differs according to sociologically relevant variables. Wind and Green (1974) explain the way in which products and services are consumed within a lifestyle, which brings this term into correlation with consumer behavior based on which scholars have developed definitions from the consumer point of view. Sobel (1983) defines lifestyle as a set of expansive, observable behaviors. Similarly, Featherstone (1987) states that lifestyle finds its meaning in reference to the distinctive style of life of specific status groups. Instead of inducting psychological traits from an amalgam of measures in the manner of the personality approach, values research pursues a more deductive project in which people are sorted into lifestyle groups on the basis of their rankings or weightings of a priori values.

From its origins in consumer behavior research, psychographics or lifestyle has become a well-accepted segmentation method, particularly in consumer markets (Barry and Weinstein 2009). Customer profiling has advanced to a point where entire product launch campaigns are designed around complex personality profiles.

Further, individuality in certain socio-demographic surroundings influences lifestyle. While some authors think that lifestyle is individual and specific for everyone (Adler 1929; Murphy 1974), the majority support the theory that it is a group phenomenon based on the same or similar ways that people behave (Feldman and Thielbar 1972; Havinghurst and De Vries 1969; Zablocki and Kantor 1976; Miedema 1989). The consensus of opinion was that lifestyle represents a combination of individual characteristics and the surroundings in which a person lives. Both the object significance and personality/values approaches assume that lifestyles are shared consumption patterns (Holt 1997). Therefore, Schutz, Baird and Hawke (1979) define lifestyle as the orientation to self, others and society that each individual develops and follows. Such an orientation derives from personal beliefs based on cultural context and the psychosocial milieu related to the stage of an individual’s life. Finally, Ruiz (1990) thinks that not only personal peculiarities have to do with an individual’s beliefs, values or norms of daily behavior, but also the way in which each person conforms to the group, class or global society to which he or she belongs. Those findings contribute to consumer behavior, because researchers used lifestyle to define groups/segments with the same or similar ways of living, since they will most likely have similar consumption patterns. Consumers make both
conscious and unconscious decisions based on their current lifestyle when it comes to their needs and attitudes, and their choice of product or brand. Lifestyle is changeable, which accordingly leads to changes in consumption patterns. Further, family lifestyle will determine individual lifestyle; however, individuality will be maintained (Bootsma, Camstra, de Feijter and Mol 1993). Hence lifestyles not only express collectivities; they also serve to reproduce these relationships. Lifestyles lead to associating with similarly socialized people and distancing from people from different backgrounds, and this process of interactional elective affinity reproduces the social conditions on which collectivities are based (Holt 1997).

Psychographic segmentation divides consumers into different groups depending on their lifestyle and personalities. Consumers in the same demographic group can express different individual profiles. Such approaches are backed by a broad literature showing that consumers prefer brands positioned around the identities they possess (e.g., Escalas and Bettman 2005). The foundations of the literature emphasize fit: consumers seek brands that fit their identity and respond favorably to messages that best communicate fit (Reed et al. 2012). Consumer identity research has focused on the vast potential of achieving a fit between brand and consumer identity (Stokburger-Sauer et al. 2012).

However, contemporary studies (Bhattacharjee, Berger, Menon 2014) argue that explicit identity-marketing messages may reduce purchase likelihood. Consumers perceive such explicit identity marketing as a threat to free identity expression and avoid brands they would otherwise prefer in order to restore their sense of agency. Consumers are thought to respond more favorably to messages that invoke their identity and show how it fits with a given brand (Reed et al. 2012). There are also some negative implications of lifestyle segmentation for companies as well. Chernev, Hamilton and Gal (2011) argue that managers may be trading fierce within-category functional competition for fierce across-category symbolic competition, whereby all self-expressive brands could end up competing with one another. Thus, by switching from functional branding to lifestyle branding, managers might be setting themselves up for even stronger competition for a share of a consumer’s identity.

Researchers were generally focused on identification of the trends which influence consumers such as their life, work or leisure, while analyzing lifestyle (Anderson and Golden 1984). Consumers use certain products to accomplish and/or maintain their relationships with others (Lin 2002). Therefore, whether in the leisure activities market (Green et al. 2006), banking (Peltier et al. 2002), apparel marketing (Richards-Sturman 1977), museum marketing (Todd, Lawson 2001) or B2B marketing (Barry and Weinstein 2009), it is important to know the lifestyle of our target market, so that we can offer them suitable recreational or cultural activities (Pronay, Hetesi, Veres 2009).

In the past decades, different scales were developed for measuring consumer values and attitudes. Some of the best known value based methods are VALS (Values and Lifestyle) (Reece 1989; Shih 1986; Hawkins, Best and Coney 1993), and Kahle’s (1983) LOV (List of Values).

**METHODOLOGY**

This survey uses the VALS methodology, which was originally developed by consumer futurist Arnold Mitchell in 1969. The main dimensions of the segmentation framework are primary motivation and resources. An individual’s primary motivation determines what in particular constitutes the meaningful core that governs an individual’s activities. One of three primary motivations inspires consumers: ideals (guided by knowledge and principles), achievement (demonstrate success to their peers), and self-expression (desire for social or physical activity, variety, and risk). Resources that play a critical role in buying decisions are a person’s energy, self-confidence, intellectualism, novelty seeking, innovativeness, impulsiveness, leadership, and vanity (SRI Consulting Business Intelligence 2006).

The survey for the purpose of this study was conducted in the following countries in the Western Balkans: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Serbia and Slovenia. The survey aimed to define and compare individual lifestyles, as well as to define the shared lifestyle segments in this region. The questionnaire for Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia and Serbia were distributed in the same language, while a translation into Slovenian was provided for respondents from Slovenia.

The planned convenience based sample was two hundred respondents from each country and ended up with 762 respondents. Table 1 shows sample characteristics.
Table 1: Sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>27,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>26,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>21,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>24,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>21,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College degree</td>
<td>67,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate education</td>
<td>10,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual financial income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 5,000 €</td>
<td>16,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 - 9,999 €</td>
<td>18,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 - 14,999 €</td>
<td>15,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000 - 19,999 €</td>
<td>15,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 - 24,999 €</td>
<td>9,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000 - 29,999 €</td>
<td>8,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 - 49,999 €</td>
<td>14,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 € and above</td>
<td>3,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rationale for analyzing the markets of these four countries is that lifestyle segments were never defined within them, and moreover each market per se is not lucrative enough for potential investors. Due to the fact that these countries were all part of the former Yugoslavia, the question addressed in this research is whether recent political issues and economic differences have led to a dissimilar way of living, or whether cultural similarities prevailed such that lifestyles could be defined accordingly.

**ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

In order to identify factors in the VALS scale, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was conducted. EFA defined a seven-factor solution. Based on the seven-factor solution, a confirmative factor analysis (CFA) was conducted for the entire sample followed by a multiple group analysis (MGA). The main objective of CFA and MGA was to eliminate factors that are not suitable for the whole sample and for each of the countries.

Table 2: Multiple Group Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>970,8</td>
<td>528,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Df</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p&lt;</td>
<td>0,00</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>0,91</td>
<td>0,94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>0,89</td>
<td>0,92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNFI</td>
<td>0,86</td>
<td>0,74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLI</td>
<td>0,86</td>
<td>0,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0,87</td>
<td>0,92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>0,05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since Model 1 (containing 7 factors) did not have satisfactory values, six low loadings items were eliminated and after validity analysis and diagnostics, the final VALS Model 2 was formed based on the scale of six factors with matching items. The final model defined the following six factors, which are suitable for profiling the lifestyle clusters in the Region: activity, fashion, practice, tradition, theory and indolence.

Subsequently, we performed invariance tests across samples in line with the literature (e.g., Steenkamp & Baumgartner, 1998). The results of invariance testing confirmed that configural and metric invariance should not be a problem for this study. However, loose scalar invariance was partly confirmed, and this calls for further research. Therefore, we believe that measurement equivalence exists across samples, and that the items are equally reliable. As such the measures were used for further testing.

Since the goal of the analysis is to identify groups that describe a certain lifestyle, those factors were used to create lifestyle clusters. Hierarchical analysis used average linkage procedure, because of its small within-cluster variations, with Euclidian distance (Everitt 1993; Hair et al. 2006). Using an agglomeration schedule coefficient to show the level of heterogeneity, the solution of 3 clusters proved to be the most sufficient. Nonhierarchical clustering used a K-means procedure since it has an option of engaging initial cluster centers. Furthermore, validation was conducted in three steps: a different nonhierarchical method (with initial seed points), two-step clustering and cross-validation (for each country per se). Analysis revealed the high consistency of each lifestyle factor and clusters and implies that data can be used with statistical validity to explain behavior in the observed region regardless of the time dimension.

Profiling clusters are self explanatory. It is important to identify characteristics which vary substantially between clusters, and can predict that a subject...
belongs in a certain cluster. Therefore, identification of the demographic, psychographic and other character-
istics determines the segments with defined behavior. The six defined factors used to explain the three clus-
ters are defined as follows:
- Activity – “Leaders always available for adventure”
- Fashion – “Trendy people who want to be perceived as fashionable”
- Practice – “Handyman or housewife enjoying do-it-
yourself products”
- Tradition – “Individuals oriented to family and religion”
- Theory – “Intellectuals interested in everything around them”
- Indolence – “Individuals lacking interest in the world they live in”

Three clusters have been identified for the entire Region:
1. Urban Intellectuals
2. Trendy and Popular
3. Passive Observers

The cluster called Urban Intellectuals is the domi-
nant lifestyle for persons interested in learning, both in a theoretical (value 0.7) and in a practical sense (0.4). They are active (0.4) and they act as participants

Table 4: Clusters' Profiling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indolence</th>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Tradition</th>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Fashion</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster “Urban Intellectuals”</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster “Trendy and Popular”</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster “Passive Observers”</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in all activities around them. On the other hand, those persons are not traditional (-0.6) and are not interested in fashion trends (-0.2). The analysis of the demographic data shows that they are highly educated, middle-aged and have higher incomes. Their goals are set high and they are determined to achieve them. Urban Intellectuals are not interested in trends and popular fashion, they do not join fancy mass culture and they are not followers. This cluster is the largest in the region, comprising 41.73% of respondents.

The cluster called Passive Observers is very high in indolence (0.8) and absolutely not interested in life and the world around them (theory –0.7). It was expected that this group would be more traditional (0.1) and religious, but considering their overall lack of interest, they are passive in this area as well. They are mostly men, older than the average sample, with lower education and a lower level of income. Individuals belonging to this group are commonly disappointed and tired. The Passive Observers cluster is the smallest in the Region with 26.25% of respondents.

The specifics that determine some of the differences between the countries reveal that Bosnia and Herzegovina is overall more traditional than the average in the region, with strong religious beliefs. Croatia has an almost perfect equivalence with the region overall and many similarities with Bosnia and Herzegovina. In Serbia the cluster Trendy and Popular is more dedicated to a contemporary trend of urban living than the average for the region, while at the same time Serbia proves to be the most tradition-oriented country. Slovenia differs from the regional average the most in its socio-economic and political development. Therefore, it is not surprising that its population shares somewhat different values from the rest of the region. Generally, it can be concluded that Slovenia is less traditional and more fashionable. However, the same lifestyle patterns can be applied.

Detailed lifestyle analysis confirmed that political, economic and social differences between consumers in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Serbia and Slovenia do not imply different lifestyles. This was confirmed by a cluster validity analysis using a different non-hierarchical procedure with initial seed points and a two-step clustering procedure. Finally, demographic analysis of the region showed that three demographic variables have statistically significant differences for all three clusters. Those variables are gender (Chi-square 6.081, significance 0.048), age (Chi-square 22.434, significance 0.004) and education (Chi-square 19.929, significance 0.044).

**CONCLUSION**

The findings of the study can greatly increase managerial cooperation in the region. For some companies each of the presented countries per se may be an insignificant market, but the region as a whole represents a fairly large segment. This finding has the potential to bring the Region closer in terms of creating a mutual approach to foreign direct investment or even stimulating the growth of regional companies. With markets segmented on the same basis in the four countries, companies can create and promote the same products or services, overcoming the borders between the countries, and approaching the regional market. Modifications would be necessary only in terms of language, while product attributes could remain the same. Moreover, foreign trade offices could use lifestyle similarities in order to obtain foreign investment. Each country per se is not lucrative enough for large investors, but the twenty-million consumers of the entire market would be. Moreover, there is insufficient data on lifestyle analysis in the Western Balkan countries. Hence, marketing managers can have better profiles of their consumers and be in a better position to market their offerings to targeted lifestyle segments.

On the social side, this research shows that economic, political and social differences have less influence on lifestyle than historical and cultural similarities. Knowing that consumers use certain products to accomplish and/or maintain their relationships with others, it can be argued that people in the region still have a common attitude. Current lifestyle unconsciously influences not only the consumers’ product or brand choice, but also their needs and attitudes. Those psychographic regional similarities can be used to strengthen common spirit and enhance cooperation, prosperity, and peace in the region. The observed markets were within the same country for 70 years, and the only during the last 20 years did they
experience different circumstances, development patterns and internal structural forces. Those results indicate that previous development has been stronger than the last twenty years of separation. However, it should be noted that even today Slovenia is the main investor in Serbia and Bosnia and Herzegovina, that Serbia and Croatia are the main trade partners of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and that major brands and companies still have the same business approach in all of the observed countries. It seems that linkages and healthy economic and trade patterns cannot be erased by several decades of separate development.

To conclude, this lifestyle study indicates that a regional collection of countries previously comprising a common state, one that has very similar languages, and shares many cultural traits and traditional economic and political linkages, even while experiencing very different destinies over the last twenty years stills maintain a very similar structure and shared segmentation, while showing only insignificant differences.

As for the limitations of this research, the theory and practice still lacks a complete lifestyle scale that can be applied regardless of cultural context. VALS is the most frequently used methodology (Lin 2002; Todd, Lawson and Faris 1996), but it is primarily created for the U.S. market. Considering the importance of the information that lifestyle segmentation provides, it is expected that new scales and methodologies will be developed accordingly.

Finally, this research used a convenience sampling method, which is its main limitation. For better insight into the population, rural areas have to be covered as well, and a larger-scale sample should be used for further explorations.
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